



## Three Parts to the Theory

- Factors that determine the likelihood that a decision maker will choose to rely on an available decision aid
- Conditions under which a decision maker using an intelligent decision aid is susceptible to dominance by the technology
- Long-term impact of intelligent decision aid use on de-skilling domain experts and impeding epistemological evolution



















• When the expertise level of the user and intelligent decision aid are matched, there is a positive relationship between reliance on the aid and improved decision making

School of Accounting

### Test with Novice/Expert Insolvency Practitioners

- Compared novice/expert insolvency practitioners level of bias susceptibility in manual vs. aided decision making.
- Found that the same aid increased novices bias, but decreased experts bias.

Arnold, V., P.A. Collier, S.A. Leech, and S.G. Sutton. "The Impact of Intelligent Decision Aids on Experienced and Novice Decision Makers' Judgments." *Accounting and Finance*, March 2004.









### **Related Test with Tax Preparers**

- Less experienced users were not able to adequately use the TDSS and made inferior decisions when compared to more experienced users
- More experienced decision-makers using a TDSS made better decisions than their counterparts preparing a return manually.

T. Noga and V. Arnold. 2003. "Do tax decision support systems affect the accuracy of tax compliance decisions?" *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems* 3(2).



# Problem w/o a Solution?

- Tests with real working intelligent decision support systems show the effect.
- What we don't know (and need to know) is why does the phenomenon occur (i.e. extend the theory) and can we solve it in design or through some intervention?

# One Strategy at Alternative Design (Toulmin's Arguments)

- Provide different types of explanation facilities for different levels of decision makers
- Explanation types: definition, rule-trace, justify, strategic.
- Feedforward vs. Feedback
- Novices use more definitional, and more feedforward (Declarative knowledge)
- Experts use more non-definitional and feedback (Procedural knowledge)
- Experts that use procedural level knowledge explanations adhere more to the aid recommendation.

Arnold, V., N. Clark, P.A. Collier, S.A. Leech, and S.G. Sutton. 2006. The Differential Use and Effect of Knowledge-Based System Explanations in Novice and Expert Judgment Decisions *MIS Quarterly* 30(1)



#### **Test of Long-Term Effects**

- Experiment required auditors experienced in the industry to list from memory 5 key business risks common to clients in that industry
- During the experiment auditors did not have access to a decision aid that is normally available from the firm during audits.
- Aids used by participating firms differ significantly in extent of decision support provided for the task.
- TTD #7 suggests level of support will negatively effect performance without the aid.
- As hypothesized, auditors from the firm with an aid providing a lower level of decision support listed more key risks than auditors from firms with aids having a high level of embedded decision support.

C. Dowling, S.A. Leech, R. Maroney. 2006. The deskilling of auditors' abilities: An empirical test of the theory of technology dominance. 2<sup>nd</sup> Asia-Pacific Research Symposium on Accounting Information Systems.



Kenneth G. Dixon School of Accounting

University of Central Florida

Professorial Fellow University of Melbourne