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Foundations Underlying The Theory of Technology Dominance

• Why have intelligent decision aids had such limited success in the audit domain?
• How might intelligent decision aids be more effectively designed and used in the audit domain?

Three Parts to the Theory

- Factors that determine the likelihood that a decision maker will choose to rely on an available decision aid
- Conditions under which a decision maker using an intelligent decision aid is susceptible to dominance by the technology
- Long-term impact of intelligent decision aid use on de-skilling domain experts and impeding epistemological evolution

Reliance

- \( \text{reliance} = f (\text{task experience}, \text{task complexity}, \text{decision aid familiarity}, \text{cognitive fit}) \)
Experience
At low to moderate level of experience, there is a negative relationship between task experience and reliance on a decision aid.
Decision Aid Familiarity

Positive relationship between decision aid familiarity and reliance on the decision aid.

Cognitive Fit

Positive relationship between cognitive fit and reliance on the decision aid.
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Does the Reliance Model Hold?

- Questions in regard to familiarity—is it important in today’s PC environment?
- Are there other factors that affect reliance? Probably!
- Caution: What is reliance?

Susceptibility To Dominance By The Technology

- Preliminary condition: Reliance
- When the expertise of the user and intelligent decision aid are mismatched, there is a negative relationship between the user’s expertise level and the risk of poor decision making
- When the expertise level of the user and intelligent decision aid are matched, there is a positive relationship between reliance on the aid and improved decision making
Test with Novice/Expert Insolvency Practitioners

- Compared novice/expert insolvency practitioners level of bias susceptibility in manual vs. aided decision making.
- Found that the same aid increased novices bias, but decreased experts bias.

Test with Expert Insolvency Practitioners


Test with Novice/Experienced Tax Preparers

• Use of tax compliance software has been assumed to improve the accuracy of taxpayers returns due to intelligent agents that identify errors, interpretations of tax laws, and possible IRS audit flags.

• Computer tax experiment showed novices substantially altering tax returns to overpay.

• Knowledgeable taxpayers generally ignored software warnings.

Related Test with Tax Preparers

- Less experienced users were not able to adequately use the TDSS and made inferior decisions when compared to more experienced users.
- More experienced decision-makers using a TDSS made better decisions than their counterparts preparing a return manually.


Problem w/o a Solution?

- Tests with real working intelligent decision support systems show the effect.
- What we don’t know (and need to know) is why does the phenomenon occur (i.e. extend the theory) and can we solve it in design or through some intervention?
One Strategy at Alternative Design (Toulmin’s Arguments)

- Provide different types of explanation facilities for different levels of decision makers
- Explanation types: definition, rule-trace, justify, strategic.
- Feedforward vs. Feedback
- Novices use more definitional, and more feedforward (Declarative knowledge)
- Experts use more non-definitional and feedback (Procedural knowledge)
- Experts that use procedural level knowledge explanations adhere more to the aid recommendation.


Long-Term Effects of Dominance by Intelligent Technologies

- There is a positive relationship between continued use of an intelligent decision aid and the de-skilling of knowledge workers' abilities for the domain in which the aid is used
- There is a negative relationship between the broad-based, long term use of an intelligent decision aid in a given problem domain and the growth in knowledge and advancement of the domain
Test of Long-Term Effects

- Experiment required auditors experienced in the industry to list from memory 5 key business risks common to clients in that industry.
- During the experiment auditors did not have access to a decision aid that is normally available from the firm during audits.
- Aids used by participating firms differ significantly in extent of decision support provided for the task.
- TTD #7 suggests level of support will negatively effect performance without the aid.
- As hypothesized, auditors from the firm with an aid providing a lower level of decision support listed more key risks than auditors from firms with aids having a high level of embedded decision support.


We Need to Understand Epistemological Issues Better

- Is de-skilling wide-spread?
- Impact on epistemological growth?
- Who provides the domain expertise tomorrow?
- What interventions might solve this problem?*

*There is a big literature on learning from expert systems explanations and structuring explanations to transfer knowledge.
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